STRG \_ Smart Cities
Final Presentation | Essay: Structure and Content

Pinelopi Papadimitraki

# non-plan smart urbanism

from oppositions to hybrids

#### Content

- 0. Smart urbanism visions
- 1. Non-Plan movement: Key Concepts
- 2. Case Studies / Areas of interest
- 3. Conclusion: Limitations and Possible Futures

#### 0. Smart urbanism visions

Who. Stakeholders / Initiators developers, governments

Citizens, hackers

How. Methods

Top-down, centralized

Bottom-up, distributed

Why. Intents

Automation, optimization, efficiency

engagement, socio-cultural revitalization

Table 4.1 Fordist modernity versus flexible postmodernity, or the interpenetration of opposed tendencies in capitalist society as a whole

Fordist modernity	Flexible postmodernity
economies of scale/master code/hierarchy	economies of scope/idiolect/anarchy
homogeneity/detail division of labour	diversity/social division of labour
paranoia/alienation/symptom	schizophrenia/decentering/desire
public housing/monopoly capital	homelessness/entrepreneurialism
purpose/design/mastery/determinacy	play/chance/exhaustion/indeterminacy
production capital/universalism	fictitious capital/localism
state power/trade unions	financial power/individualism
state welfarism/metropolis	neo-conservatism/counterurbanization
ethics/money commodity	aesthetics/moneys of account
God the Father/materiality	The Holy Ghost/immateriality
production/originality/authority	reproduction/pastiche/eclecticism
blue collar/avant-gardism	white collar/commercialism
interest group politics/semantics	charismatic politics/rhetoric
centralization/totalization synthesis/collective bargaining	decentralization/deconstruction antithesis/local contracts

operational management/master code phallic/single task/origin

metatheory/narrative/depth mass production/class politics technical-scientific rationality

utopia/redemptive art/concentration specialized work/collective consumption

function/representation/signified industry/protestant work ethic mechanical reproduction

becoming/epistemology/regulation urban renewal/relative space

state interventionism/industrialization internationalism/permanence/time

strategic management/idiolect androgynous/multiple tasks/trace

language games/image/surface small-batch production/social movements/pluralistic otherness

heterotopias/spectacle/dispersal flexible worker/symbolic capital

fiction/self-reference/signifier services/temporary contract electronic reproduction

being/ontology/deregulation urban revitalization/place

laissez-faire/deindustrialization geopolitics/ephemerality/space

## Oppositions

Confront



Solve

Regulate

### Oppositions

Confront

Hybrids



Solve

Regulate

#### O. Smart urbanism visions

Who. Stakeholde	ers / Initiators	
How. Methods		<b>Evaluation.</b> Critique / Challenges / Risks
Why. Intents		

### Argument.

Prevalent smart-city approaches adopt modernist concepts of fixity, solutionism, centralization, permanence. On the other hand, the Non-plan is native of the postmodern side of the opposition. In which ways could a common ground inbetween inform smart urbanism's current challenges?

### 1. Non-Plan movement: Key Concepts

> Indeterminacy or "calculated uncertainty".

Infrastructures / technologies / concretization

Spontaneity. Programmed serendipity

Pluralism. Heterogeneity / Complexity

Self-regulation. Self-determination / personalization

Obsolescence. Ephemerality / deregulation



Northwick Park Hospital by John Weeks

"The universal visions of smart cities typically assume that infrastructures are always the same in their striving for optimization. Even imaginaries for participatory digital urbanism (...) do not typically allow for indeterminacy"

– Gabrys J., Program Earth, p.261

### 1. Non-Plan movement: Key Concepts

> Indeterminacy or "calculated uncertainty".

Infrastructures / technologies / concretization

Spontaneity. Programmed serendipity

Pluralism. Heterogeneity / Complexity

Self-regulation. Self-determination / personalization

Obsolescence. Ephemerality / deregulation

1. Non-Plan movement: Key Concepts

"Creative Disorder".

Interoperability.

"Graceful failure".

### 3. Case Studies / Areas of interest

### Transport / Mobility.

- .Social groups: Commuters, refugees, entrepreneurs, non-citizens
- .Beyond the car: Sharing economy and other forms of transit

#### Scales of Governance.

- . Biopolitics, Withness
- > Participation & Re-appropriation.
- .Participatory structures
- . Citizen-driven events
- . From Self-Service to DIY / The Self-builder and the Hacker

### > The Role of the Architect.

- . Interdependence & Collaboration
- . From sole author to enabler

### 3. Case Studies / Areas of interest

#### - Automation

#### - Tools

.Non Plan: Cheap, mass produced, prefab modules / Plug-in, ephemeral .Smart City: cheap electronics, modular, customizable / Mobile devices / ICT

#### - Politics

. (Simulated) Anarchy or Chaos vs. Libertarianism / Capitalism

### 4. Limitations and Possible Futures

- Environmental restrictions
- The designer user Divide
- Feasibility, sustainability

#### References

- Townsend, A. Smart Cities: Big Data, Civic Hackers and the Quest for a New Utopia
- Gabrys, J. Program Earth: Environmental Sensing Technology and the Making of a Computational Planet
- Haque, Fuller Urban Versioning System 1.0
- De Waal , De Lange Owing the City: New media and Citizen engagement in urban design
- Kitchin and Dodge Code / Space : Software and Everyday Life
- Hughes, Saddler Non Plan: Essays on Freedom, Participation and Change in Modern Architecture and Urbanism
- Parker, P. New Society Journal
- Simone, A. Cities of Uncertainty
- Harvey, D. *The Condition of Postmodernity*

#### **Articles**

- Moss, S., "End of the car age: how cities are outgrowing the automobile", The Guardian
- Fontenot A., "Notes Toward a History of Non-Planning," Places Journal