# GROUND

# Malcolm McCullough

# Architecture, Pervasive Computing, and Environmental Knowing

.

The MIT Press Cambridge, Massachusetts London, England

Universal Situated

Anytime-anyplace Mostly portable Ad hoc aggregation Context is location Instead of architecture Fast and far Uniform Responsive place Mostly embedded Accumulated aggregation Context is activity Inside of architecture Slow and closer Adapted

### 4.1 Universal versus situated computing

When everyday objects boot up and link, more of us need to understand technology well enough to take positions about its design. What are the essential components, and what are the contextual design implications of the components? How do the expectations we have examined influence the design practices we want to adapt? As a point of departure, and with due restraint on the future tense, it is worth looking at technology in itself.

To begin, consider how not all ubiquitous computing is portable computing. As a contrast to the universal mobility that has been the focus of so much attention, note the components of digital systems that are embedded in physical sites (figure 4.1). "Embedded" means enclosed; these chips and software are not considered computers. They are unseen parts of everyday things. "The most profound technologies are those that disappear," was Mark Weiser's often-repeated dictum. "They weave themselves into the fabric of everyday life until they are indistinguishable from it."<sup>1</sup>

### **Bashing the Desktop**

Some general background on the state of the computer industry is necessary first, particularly regarding the all-too-familiar desktop computer. By the beginning of the new century, technologists commonly asserted that a computer interface need not involve a keyboard, mouse, and screen.<sup>2</sup> Ambient, haptic, and environmentally embedded interface elements have become viable, and these require more concern

# Embedded Gear

for physical context. But because the desktop graphical user interface was just what made computing accessible to nonspecialists, it remained the only form of human-computer interaction that most people had ever known. By now that model is more than twenty years old. In the time frame of the information industries, that is astonishingly long.<sup>3</sup>

"What's wrong with the PC?" usability advocate Don Norman asked. "Everything. Start with the name. The personal computer is not personal nor is it used to do much computing."<sup>4</sup> Technology experts generally agree that the personal computer was a good idea for its time—twenty years ago. But by now that box is used for so many purposes that it has become unwieldy for any of them. Even its most essential applications—text, graphics, databases, and spreadsheets have been weighed down under hundreds of commands. A graphical user interface made sense when you could put everything of relevance on the screen, but now there is just too much to see. A single hard disk made sense when its owner could know more or less what was on it, but now it typically contains thousands of files, most of them put there by someone else.

Software piles up. Programmers' impetus to add ever more features has led to bloat. This prolixity is a consequence of logic, which is naturally cumbersome. Each time another option is considered, the number of conditions that must be coded and configured increases. Faster chips run all this code acceptably, but people and organizations seldom benefit.

This lamentable state of the desktop computer results from poor design. As software critics such as Alan Cooper have explained, design for usability or experience too often comes only after this engineering, by which time it is too late.<sup>5</sup> As long as people accept design to be some programmer's notion of what features to add this year, there will always be more features, whether or not they are usable or useful.

And now, as computers move out into the physical world, better design becomes essential. Pervasive computing has been hailed as an escape from the desktop and a chance to start over. On the other hand, unless design can intervene, it is also a chance for computer technology to become even worse, and far less escapable.

# The New Form of Locality

For any new approach to design to break out of this feature-accumulation cycle, information technology must change fundamentally, that is, at a level much more basic than a better desktop interface. In essence we face limits to how much we care to do or will consider doing with any one device in one place. More subtly, we also face limits to how much a device can do without better information about its context.

In response, the computer industry now researches aggregations of smaller, more specialized, more localized systems. As Sun Microsystems chief scientist Bill Joy has argued, we are actually facing the evolution of operating systems. The next stage of evolution takes the load off a technology now two paradigms old. Thing-centered computing is coming to be for the 2000s what network-centered computing was to the 1990s and personal computing was to the 1980s. A personal computer was (and still mostly is) based on its hard disk. The disk operating system (DOS) on which this was based assumed that everything one needed was stored locally. A network of computers, on the other hand, was (and presumably will remain) based on packetswitched communications. The transmission protocol (TCP/IP) on which this was based assumed that everything one needed could be made universally accessible on the Internet. This arrangement did not do away with the local hard disk, but it moved information on and off it in a way that was much more significant. Whereas the isolated desktop system encouraged only more automation of tasks, network computing allowed full-scale organizational change. So many possibilities had to be accounted for, however, that the old disk operating systems grew into baggy monsters.

This evolution intrinsically embraces context. DOS assumed everything was just local (but not at all networked). TCP/IP assumed connectivity was universal. What sort of standard will emerge on the assumption that what you need, and with whom you wish to be connected at the moment, is based on where you are? Bill Joy called this a question of etiquette. If our growing constellations of devices and gadgets are to become any less obnoxious than the desktop computers they are intended to replace, they will have to acquire some situational protocols. This view often finds analogies in electrification. One usual cliché describes the refrigerator: You probably don't think of this machine as an application of an electric motor, and you don't need to know about electric motors to use it; you just treat it as a cold, dark place to store your food. Similarly "information appliances" let you carry out particular activities without having to be aware of any computers that may be involved. As Don Norman urged, "The primary motivation behind the information appliance is clear: simplicity. Design the tool to fit the task so well that it becomes part of the task, feeling like a natural extension of the work, a natural extension of the person."<sup>6</sup>

Norman's calls for "invisible" computers reflect the need for less attention-consuming technology. This echoes Mark Weiser's vision as well. "Invisibility has become the main issue now," he remarked in the early 1990s. "We have been very good at putting computers into the environment, but we have been very bad at getting them out of the way."<sup>7</sup>

The goal of natural interaction drives this movement toward pervasive computing and embedded systems (figure 4.2). There has been something unnatural about how desktop computers ignore many possible dimensions of skill and yet monopolize our attention. In a natural interaction, context would include information that does not require our attention except when necessary. Ambient interfaces would let us monitor potentially relevant information. Haptic and tangible interfaces would use latent intuitive physics.

Yet there is a lot more to embedded systems than there is to household electric appliances. This is basically a consequence of how they tend to link up. Ad hoc physical aggregations of digital devices become systems in themselves. Interoperability becomes critical here. "A distinguishing feature of information appliances is the ability to share information among themselves," Norman noted. "Smart devices are all about internetworking. Information isn't put into your computer so much as your computer is put into a world of information."<sup>8</sup>

You do not have to be a cultural anthropologist to suspect that "anytime-anyplace" universality is not the ultimate technological outcome. For technological reasons alone, we can see that fixed and specialized contexts will accumulate technology and diversify interac-



4.2 Beyond graphical interfaces

tivity.<sup>9</sup> What is more, we are beginning to see how those contexts accumulate digital technology. Quite the opposite of a global brain (or Big Brother), arbitrary local aggregations of self-connecting systems can become islands of coherence in the chaos raised by pervasive computing. How to achieve this becomes more than ever a question of design.

Many properties of ad hoc networking interest the designers of physical space. When connectivity lets a device discover what other appliances and services are on hand, then design necessarily involves processes that are best understood as local. The sheer volume of technological possibilities alone dictates that activity must be managed by context. Local properties of scale, discovery, protocol, configuration, and tuning all become essential. In comparison to current universal networks, local systems do not require such high-level models in software, and they are less subject to monitoring by external parties.

This emphasis on the local actually points away from Orwellian prospects. Alex Pentland, long a pioneering researcher in smart environments, has explained the advantages of locality by distinguishing "perceptual intelligence" from "ubiquity." "The goal of the perceptual intelligence approach is not to create computers with the logical powers envisioned in most AI research, or to have computers that are ubiquitous and networked, because most of the tasks we want performed do not seem to require complex reasoning or a god's-eye view of the situation. One can imagine, for instance, a well-trained dog controlling most of the functions we envision for future smart environments."<sup>10</sup> Instead the intentionality must lie in human organizations, abilities, and practices. As we have seen, foundations in embodiment and activity theory help tilt the field of computer-human interaction (CHI) back toward understanding how people play situations. This new era in information technology is not about predictable outcomes so much as richer experience. It pursues much more human-centered goals in natural interaction.

We have seen how embodiment shapes expectations. From a philosophical standpoint, activity in context has supplanted tasks on isolated machines as the focus of information technology design. From architecture we have identified a latent need to map our embodiment onto the world. This pertains to the present discussion in that we feel a deep need to maintain technological constructs whose dimensions resemble those of the human body in architectural space.

Now let us apply this background to recent developments in technology. Physical devices establish possibilities for interaction beyond the desktop. Local models are necessary abstractions for technologyextensible places. Social situations provide design precedents and problems from which to build types. All of this points toward new forms of context-centered design. Whether the various goals are met, and whether this next layer of technology is to become a bane or a boon depends mostly on how many ways of environmental knowing can be brought to interaction design.

### **Understanding the Components**

As computing moves beyond the desktop, what are the essential building blocks that nonspecialists may want to understand? As a way of reviewing recent developments, consider some essential categories in embedded computing technology. The elements and applications of ubiquitous computing are fairly well established within technical circles by now. Different emphases on their particulars distinguish research areas. Among those elements held in common, some should survive rapid changes in circumstance. Already these developments have consequence for the shift from virtual world building to physical computing. Much of this work begins at the level of demonstrating technical possibility. Concerns for usefulness, organization, and social appropriateness inevitably follow. More so than engineered features, these situational factors cause some technologies to linger at the margin while others explode onto the global scene. It is notoriously difficult to predict how quickly developments will come on the market, and for what initial purposes. So put aside the technofutures for a moment. Here without speculation on their implications is a set as ten essential functions from which pervasive computing systems have been composed.<sup>11</sup>

# 1. Sites and devices are embedded with microprocessors.

It all starts with the embedded microprocessor. All sorts of things have a chip in them. The pocket radio of the 1960s, that first truly widespread instance of portable consumer electronics, was named for its embedded processor, which if not yet truly a chip, was at least "solid state." A beachgoer could listen to his or her "transistor."

By the year 2000, a mobile phone could pack more processing capacity and memory than a campus mainframe did in the 1960s. A web server could fit in a pocket. An ordinary chip could hold an operating system, a network interface, an Internet protocol stack, and a web client. In its extreme form, a web-capable device could be smaller and lighter than a nickel.<sup>12</sup> In 2001, news stories popularized knowledge of the "smart dust" developed at the University of California, Berkeley. Using solar power and optical transceivers, researchers overcame the usual size constraints presented by powered communications circuitry. At a 7-mm length, the prototypes were still too large to be blown about like actual dust, but for something able to communicate across the bay from San Francisco to Berkeley, these sensor devices were considered small.<sup>13</sup>

Technofuturists jumped on this miniaturization bandwagon with predictions of practical bionanotechnology within a decade. Nanotechnology takes embedded systems to the practical limits of smallness, with microns-wide devices that we would have difficulty understanding as chips. Biotechnology aims to integrate these with living systems. For present applications to architecture, portable gear, and temporarily worn devices, centimeter-sized devices are small enough. The move beyond the desktop computer is well under way. According to U.S. government research statistics for the year 2000, shipments of new microcontrollers outnumbered those of new computational microprocessors by a factor of almost 50.<sup>14</sup> According to Intel, already more than 95 percent of devices containing microchips do not present themselves to their users as computers.

As demonstrated by surging enrollment in conferences such as those for embedded systems, device engineers have increasingly devoted their efforts to the interoperability of smaller chip-based devices in physical settings.<sup>15</sup> This technical interest occurs because the embedded device is engineered "low." Practical economies of engineering do not always warrant providing a full-service network operating system; devices can communicate at lower levels without that kind of overhead. Such internetworking is indeed vital. Without it, devices must be hard programmed for a particular purpose, like gadgets. With connectivity, however, embedded systems can communicate their status and receive ongoing instructions to and from their surroundings. In contrast to anytime-anyplace universality, this alternative is intermittent and local.

# 2. Sensors detect action.

If technologies are to keep out of the way, they need to see us coming. If computationally embedded environments are to be useful yet unobtrusive, they have to recognize what is happening in them. Next to the microprocessor itself, the next most basic component of embedded computing is the sensor. To the forecaster Paul Saffo, for instance, sensors have become the "key enabling technology" for computing. Microprocessors themselves led change in the 1980s, and laser optics (storage-rich compact disks and bandwidth-rich optical fibers) were key in the 1990s, "But we are beginning to see diminishing returns from merely adding more bandwidth to our access-oriented world. Now change is being driven by sensors—cheap, ubiquitous, high-performance sensors, or MEMS—and they will shape the coming decade."<sup>16</sup>

Like processors and networking before them, sensors have now reached the steepest part of the cost-reduction curve. Today a programmable network of wireless embedded sensors often costs less than one hard-wired dedicated single sensor circuit did not so long ago. Thus many more applications become normal. For example, a house may be equipped not only with smoke detectors, but also with inexpensive monitors for specific gases such as radon or carbon monoxide. On the streets of London, smog monitors mounted on lampposts transmit data to a nearby server. Devices under development there cost less than 1 percent as much as their predecessors.<sup>17</sup>

This invites a reconsideration of some basic engineering concepts. A sensor responds to a change in state. The medium in which the state exists might be mechanical, electrical, magnetic, hydrostatic, flowing, chemical, luminous, or logical. The change might be a discrete event, the gradual attainment of some threshold, or the establishment of a pattern. In effect, even the simplest mechanical sensor intrinsically serves a logic device, which simply reports whether a change has occurred. For example, a crude trip wire has two outputs: no, no one has walked by yet; or yes, someone just has.

Typically in the history of engineering, some aspect of the physical configuration of a device, relative to its monitored medium, had to contain implicit information about what constituted a meaningful change. For example, a float valve responded to water reaching a prescribed level. Such a device would normally have to be calibrated to fit its setting, usually by means of some dimensional adjustment to a scale. Typically this kind of sensor would serve a single purpose, for which a sensing system would in effect be hard programmed as a mechanical computer. Optical and electronic systems have been more powerful, and many of these have long involved the use of microprocessors, but still they too have been operated in isolation and for a single purpose for which they have to be configured in advance.

Embedded computation changes that. Adaptable programmability is the key to the relationship between sensors and embedded microprocessors. Now the signal from a sensor can be interpreted statistically, over time, and in comparison with background conditions held in memory. This becomes especially powerful in large arrays. Systems for interpreting signals from a field of linked sensors have dramatically increased the capacity to recognize patterns. Wireless networking improves the practicality of distributed fields of sensors. Until recently, any mechanical-electrical sensor has required a fulltime, direct, hardwired connection to its controller. Usually that has required a dedicated network, and at best it still had to share traffic on a full-service local area network. Often this connection has been more expensive than the sensor itself. Cost has limited the number and distribution of sensors, which in turn has limited the adaptability of whole systems. Now a field of wirelessly interlinked sensors can become practical. Interlinking can implement much more economical protocols for downtime, for passing or "hopping" messages directly among themselves, and even for reassigning tasks to one another. By analogy, such a field becomes more like a population, like birds that quickly pass the word when a cat is walking around beneath them. Continuous sensor fields can ask: "What trends are developing here, besides discrete events?"

The case of microelectromechanical systems circuits has been especially telling. According to estimates by an industry consortium, the MEMS market is expected to grow from \$2–5 billion in 2000 to \$8–15 billion in 2004. There were 1.5 MEMS devices per person in the United States in 2000, and that number was expected to grow at a compound rate of over 40 percent a year, to 5 such devices per person in the year 2004.<sup>18</sup>

The accelerometer, one common MEMS application, advances the cause of haptic interface design considerably. Instead of pushbutton clicks, interfaces employing a change of pace in continuous motion invite more skillful, embodied, and unobtrusive operations. The wave of a wand becomes much more of a reality. For example, one Nintendo GameBoy uses MEMS to allow video play by tilting rather than clicking. At larger scale, accelerometer-based gyroscopes replace spinning mass systems for navigating high-speed Acela and TGV trains.<sup>19</sup>

Also relevant to embodied interaction design, pressure sensing has become more practical. A pressure-sensitive resistor now costs little enough that it can be used in casual applications. When distributed in an array, these can make a building surface responsive to human presence (figure 4.3). In a demonstration of this possibility, Hewlett-Packard's David Cliff has rigged a pressure-sensitive dance floor



4.3 A responsive building surface: Aegis Hyposurface. (*Courtesy of Mark Goulthorpe, dECOi.*)

through which the activity of dancers is fed back into a musical selection or real-time composition. If it were coupled with wearable biofeedback devices such as heart-rate monitors, or motion accelerometers, and with breeder algorithms in the composition software, this could provide a fresh trance scene without recourse to chemicals.<sup>20</sup>

Although the range of physical possibilities expands, nevertheless most sensing tends to remain visual. Computer vision has been intrinsic to many agendas in pervasive computing. This is because identifying the actors is such a fundamental issue in context-aware software and because tagging is not always welcome, practical, or sufficient. Abilities in vision are relative, of course. An assemblyline robotic arm can "see" the objects it needs to pick up, but nothing more. Almost as quickly as the state of sensing advances, however, old fears about panoptic social control reawaken. Cameras appear in more places all the time, and we are rightly afraid of them.

77

According to ctrl [ SPACE ], an exhibition and publication from art and media center Zentrum für Kunst und Medientechnologie (ZKM) in Karlsruhe, Germany, it is not only visual sensing by cameras, but tracking by various forms of what the organizers called "data-veillance" that should concern us.

Sensors are not only the most essential but also the most unnerving of the new technology elements. Concerns about privacy make most of us unreceptive to this technology. But that is a larger social question. As Scott McNealy, chief executive officer of Sun Microsystems, said in 1999: "You have zero privacy anyway—get over it."<sup>21</sup> We can only hope that with billions of sensors in place, there is too much information for any software, much less some government agency that cannot even master its own internal communications, to interpret successfully.

# 3. Communication links form ad hoc networks of devices.

Local-hop sensor fields are just one example of how networks may temporarily form. Instead of being intensively planned and rigged, pervasive computing depends on unplanned communication. To avoid technological overload and privacy losses, connections are opened only where necessary.

Practical links can be fixed or portable, specialized or general, constant or intermittent, and passive or interactive. Their usability depends on interrelationships as in an ecology. Patterns of unplanned communication may allow unanticipated local capacities to emerge.

Even among purely nomadic technologies, context has begun to play a role. The radio-based Bluetooth protocol, introduced in the late 1990s, quickly became a standard for linking nearby devices. Five years later, a universal serial bus (USB) chip could be used to make peer-to-peer connections between devices formerly requiring a host computer such as a desktop PC, and at a bandwidth considerably higher than wireless Bluetooth. Not all linked objects will benefit from a full-featured web browser, of course. More will run some slimmer set of communications.

Thus when current developments start from the idea of a web connection, they often work toward much "lighter" (lower communications overhead) and more flexible connections. Web protocols as we know them do provide a convenient way to use interactive computers as we know them. They let us monitor embedded computers and sensors in applications where the networking cost is justified. Server-side computations can employ powerful computers and high-level programming languages to manage smaller devices that are not so easily codable in themselves. Meanwhile, some web servers can now fit in one's hand—or in a hand-sized object.<sup>22</sup>

But smaller objects are codable-that is the whole point of the hype. As has been fairly common for some years now, the Java model lets programs developed at a high level be executed locally on small machines. Most microprocessors have the power to serve as a Java "virtual machine"; such processing logic is not the main engineering constraint (power supply, for instance, can be more the issue). Moreover, devices running Java software can poll their vicinity to find out what other relevant devices are available for interconnection. In 2000, Sun Microsystems' JINI standard introduced a "network dial tone" by which such polling could occur economically. The increased connectivity that results from such a discovery service increases the likelihood of a division of labor. For example, a small Java device that needs some interactivity but cannot justify buttons or a display can offload its interface to a larger device in the vicinity. A larger device monitoring the activity of several smaller ones can invoke their local computations remotely. Furthermore it can download different software onto those devices to change their role. Networked communication thus dramatically increases the capacity of a local collection of devices to adapt to incidental conditions.<sup>23</sup>

Ad hoc communication thus adds new capacity for the appropriation of context. Fixed resources may suggest, and to some extent become adapted by, different configurations of software. This is fundamentally different from an anytime-anyplace uniformity, and it is an important property of place. Adaptive reassignment of locally networked devices raises the prospect that pervasive computing constitutes a new type of recyclable resource. Dan Siewiorek, director of the Human Computer Interaction Institute at Carnegie Mellon, has called this "renewable" computing. This occurs not only at the level of local networks, but a larger and smaller scales as well. "The micro level considers harvesting energy from the surroundings. The infrastructure level addresses renewable structures and how services emerge as the mix of devices evolves. The macro level considers the transformation of information sharing and impact on existing systems such as transportation and industry."<sup>24</sup>

Renewable, location-based infrastructures constitute quite a different future from that of disembodied cyberspace; yet the present state of pervasive computing is neither of those. Communication between interactive portable devices dominates current developments. These are still in the foreground; they are not yet much of a periphery.

As with any technofuture, there is a big difference between the newly possible and the generally practical. Intel director of research David Tennenhouse has cautioned: "Radical innovation will be required to bring networking costs in line with the \$1-per-device price structure of the embedded computing market."<sup>25</sup>

# 4. Tags identify actors.

Contextual awareness begins from an ability to recognize who or what is present. Pattern-recognizing sensors can detect some of this, but for habitual applications, or for ambiguous conditions, recognition becomes much simpler when something is simply tagged. This is forecast to grow into a billion dollar industry in America in this decade. A radiofrequency identification tag (RFID) cost less than a dollar in 2001, and is expected to cost less than a penny with a few years.

Tagging based on earlier information technologies is already widespread—especially the bar code. As a great many news stories proclaimed, 1999 marked the quarter century anniversary of the uniform product code (UPC), which is the standard that brought widespread success to optical tagging, first of groceries, (although the first items imprinted with bar codes were railroad cars), then with most retail goods, and sometimes even people themselves, such as hospital patients. The electronic product code (EPC) that has been designed to replace the UPC takes this further by giving a unique number not only to each product, but to each unit of that product.

Tagging has abundant architectural precedents. Ornament, inscriptions, and signage have all in effect tagged the built environ-

ment with information intended for particular purposes. In some cases, especially where automobiles dominate traffic, signage has become more prominent (and often more invested with design) than the buildings themselves. Tagging now complements the signs and symbols that architectural theorists know so well with more diverse forms and smaller scales than has been practical before. Some of these are quite pervasive, such as tagging places by means of their coordinates in a global positioning system (GPS).

The addition of computation to tagging yields a lot more applications besides pricing and gatekeeping. Just about any barcode application is coupled to an inventory control system. Smart touchsensitive counters, such as those that have been demonstrated on bins in parts warehouses, can conveniently monitor the flow of goods outside all the usual point-of-sale documentation.<sup>26</sup> Any place that large numbers of such tags are read, particularly the retail point of sale, in effect becomes an important component in the use of space. The overall flow in a system of tagged items might only be visualized by analysts at some central hub. Major retailers such as Walmart have thus been among the first to pilot use of RFID tags on a large scale for tracking low-cost merchandise as it moves off the "smart shelves."

A tag can be used to summon annotation as well. For example, Sony has demonstrated a system for summoning biographical and professional data on office occupants by means of tags from bar codes on office doors (the Navicam).

Tagged items can function as physical tokens in hybrid physicaldigital systems. In essence, a token represents an abstract arrangement with a physical symbol. This is not to say that we want to embed a text of vows into a wedding ring; tagged tokens seem to take the more mundane form of yellow stickies and refrigerator magnets.

For example, in "Triangles," one of the first broadly recognized projects in the Things that Think consortium at the MIT Media Laboratory, palm-sized physical triangles served as tags for project data; attaching them to one another or waving them in front of a camera computer triggered operations on a desktop computer. Early on, Hiroshi Ishii's group demonstrated the principle of using tagged objects as "phicons" (physical icons) or "metablocks" as interface widgets.<sup>27</sup> One obvious connection is to link physical tokens to websites. Even a banana at the supermarket has a web address printed on its price code sticker.

From a technological standpoint, smart tagging brings software into the physical environment by means of small, affordable Java virtual machines. For example, "iButton" is a 16-mm portable Java platform that can be affixed to a keychain, a ring, a wall, or the side of a computer. As of 2002, more than 65 million iButtons were in circulation, and one could order them in lots of ten thousands on the Internet.<sup>28</sup> As buttons, one could sew these onto a shirt, or make smart jewelry from them. As an inescapable part of embodied computation, computation moves onto the body.

Tagging *people* raises a lot more questions. We all carry identification cards with magnetic stripes. A Harvard faculty ID will cease to let you into your old building less than a month after your appointment has ended. In early 2002, Hong Kong introduced smart ID cards that included biometric information such as a thumbprint, as well as a picture, birth date, and address.<sup>29</sup> However, a card is still vaguely private in that you keep it in your wallet.

"Biometric" identification has been made topical by recent interest in domestic security. It involves no stealable passwords or tokens. One of the first "biometric" authentication devices to come to market at an affordable price (\$200) was offered by Ethentica.com. In 2001 the company began offering digital fingerprint authentication; owners could attach fingerprint security to individual data and applications, as a substitute for remembering and typing.

Tagging becomes more blatant wherever people agree to wear badges. In a world full of mobile workers, badges appear to have become an accepted fact of life, at least in workplaces. Social scenes have their own subtle badges of standing too, of course, and when these start polling and linking it can make for quite a scene indeed.

A badge that functions within a limited radius, that allows passage of a particular perimeter, and which (occasionally) helps customize local resources for its holder has not surprisingly been among the earliest testbeds for pervasive computing. A smart badge brings all the technical, and more important, all the social issues into focus. Badges were intrinsic to Xerox PARC's early work on pervasive computing. These were inherently contextual as well; they functioned in specified locations only, in confederation with other digital devices at larger scales. Similarly, the Olivetti Smart Badge, often cited as an earliest precedent in this technology research, was a site-based, contextaware application.

# 5. Actuators close the loop.

In a sense, whenever a system regulates itself by monitoring its own performance (i.e., with a feedback loop), some rudimentary intelligence is implied. By this reasoning, even an ancient water clock was "smart." Similarly, a household thermostat, based on thermal expansion of a copper coil to meet an adjustable electrical contact, is an analog computer of a sort. As a switch it is an actuator—a device that alters a system's state when it is triggered by appropriate conditions.

Modern industrial process engineering has been based on devices, such as the servomotor, that translate electronic signals into physical actions. Digital systems expand the linkage and logic behind these control signals. Besides sensors, then, embedded gear advances and diversifies the role of actuators.

Technofutures have been rife with these. Wherever industrial processes and products have employed feedback control systems, popular imagination has extended their application to domestic, social, and recreational uses. Today the old Jetsons fantasy about mechanical devices that pamper us (or the much more mod-cybernetic version of same from the magazine *Archigram*) has been renewed with digital devices that adapt to us. Neo-Jetson enthusiasm for the smart appliance revives all the questions of how to keep actuators in the periphery.

We want environmental systems to keep out of the way, but we also want them to do something. We might be interested to see "environmental controls" do something more interesting than just stabilize indoor climate. This is not all fantasy; many of these exist. For half a century, Disney imagineers have excelled at putting actuators into small objects, for example. An "animatronic" theme park character contains dozens of servomotors. A recent luxury car is also loaded with actuators, and many of them are coupled to fairly sophisticated computers. Instant airbags and antilock brakes receive most of the press, but fuel systems, valve systems, steering systems, vibration isolation, suspension, even seat adjustments employ meters, timers, gates, and especially a lot of fast, small actuators to improve whole-system response.<sup>30</sup>

In architecture, applications began with resource management systems, particularly those for energy, which especially in America have seemed anything but smart. Computer-driven actuators can adjust sunshades, schedule peak demand loads, manage the much more complex states that result from much more localized control, and so on. Maintenance systems have spread as well; sensors built into structures can identify deterioration and signal for upkeep before failures occur. Bridges and dams, whose failure would be catastrophic, increasingly use this technology.

Building geometry itself has sometimes seemed suitable for kinetic systems. Embedded kinetic elements manipulate other components of buildings, like tendons moving skeletons. Deployable kinetic elements are temporary structures, like tents. Dynamic elements are independently mobile, but are affixed to buildings, like doors and canopies.<sup>31</sup> For example, responsive systems have received some press in Enrique Norten's design for the Educare school gymnasium in Guadalajara, Mexico, in which sensor-controlled enameled steel panels open and close, in the words of the architect, "like the scales of a fish, or the feathers of a bird."32 In the prominent first American building by Santiago Calatrava, a pavilion for the Milwaukee Art Museum, the 200-foot sunshade over the reception area opens "like the wings of a bird."<sup>33</sup> These sculptural applications have moved sensing into the profession's imagination in a way that other climate-control systems cannot. And by monitoring atmospheric rather than social conditions, they have done so in a way that is not alarming.

The physical environment abounds with opportunities for improving commodity, firmness, and delight through the application of intelligent feedback systems. Commodity is largely a matter of lifecycle economy. Energy, materials, and space are wasted at prodigious rates in built environments.<sup>34</sup> Firmness invites applications for systems that provide safety and security in a more intelligent, less obtrusive way than today's building codes. Meanwhile, delight is up for grabs. Places that afford some participatory adjustment on daily and seasonal cycles have long been alleged to be more satisfying than those that are uniform. Spaces that subtly reconfigure themselves according to their occupants and use can cause paranoia or delight, depending on how intelligently they are designed.

# 6. Controls make it participatory.

If all this technology were completely automatic and able to function completely passively, it would be out of the way all right—and more frightening than ever. Instead, smart systems need to be operable where it is appropriate. It might be preferable to configure some systems just once, to adjust others occasionally, and to incorporate a few into daily routines. Like a remote control for television, some might be twiddled for amusement. Like a musical instrument, some smart systems can facilitate personal growth through skilled practice.<sup>35</sup> These are basic principles of interaction design: Know when to eliminate an obsolete "legacy" operation, when to automate, and when to assist an action. Know how to empower, not overwhelm.

"Pushbutton" convenience was a hallmark of a modern age only recently freed from bodily work. The industrial button was once understood as an abstraction. The automated operations it triggered were still fresh substitutes for something much more tedious. The postindustrial button frequently lacks a referent in bodily experience, however. This is partly because its logic leads to cumbersome convolution. Hundreds of buttons, or hundreds of expressions for entry by buttons, depart from the realm of comprehensible experience. This is why the household videocassette recorder has become a standard emblem of incomprehensibility.

Haptic interface strategies based on gestures, gliders, and motion sensing provide alternatives to the current excess of clickable buttons.<sup>36</sup> For example, the tilt-and roll technologies of the MEMS-equipped Gameboy can be carried over to the a handheld computer.

4

85

This may be used for gaming at first, but once people are comfortable with the operation, it can be used in other applications. Motion Sense plug-ins extended capacity this to Palm devices in 2001, for example.

Where the sensitivity of applications warrants investment in much more specialized interfaces, haptics technology has advanced considerably. For example, the ReachIn interface, used in training persons for medical procedures, combines the Phantom pointing device with a reflected transparent screen that puts a virtual display right over the active hand. With a repertoire of specialty software for moving and modifying surfaces, and for rendering texture and friction, this arrangement has delivered the best multimodal haptic interface to date. "Haptic rendering," the process by which virtual surface properties are communicated through an ultrasensitive force-feedback device in real time, bears many analogies to the graphics rendering of a decade earlier. Like graphics, these algorithms eventually end up in hardware, on a chip, where they become practical for more casual application, such as in everyday geometric modeling in computeraided design.<sup>37</sup>

We know from traditional craft that finesse requires some touch but not necessarily full embodiment. This is an important distinction. The usual objection has been that symbolic processing requires us to sit still. Relative to traditional work practices, this was more or less true. But as we have discovered from the first few decades of creative computing, the active participation so conducive to learning and expertise wants more than a mouse and a screen. Even within the crude window-icon-menu-pointer (WIMP) technology, there is enough affordance to support talent. The way is wide open toward physical interaction design: active controls are integrated with comprehensible, satisfying things.

# 7. Display spreads out.

For a precedent in ubiquitous information technology, Mark Weiser would point out text. Text really is ubiquitous—you are rarely out of sight of several pieces of it. Take cars; as anyone who has time to study them amid gridlock on the freeway knows, the back end of a car can signal you with at least five text sites: the bumper sticker, the license plate frame, the characters of the plate itself, the dangling sign cautioning about whomever is on board, and the window decal.<sup>38</sup> The back of a cereal box is a cacophony of texts and images vying for the awakening person's momentary attention. And, in an act that would most likely astonish a visitor from any other century, millions of people think nothing of going out wearing unpaid advertising in the form of logos and messages on their clothes.

This is a tale worth its many recitations. Once upon a time text was scarce, and was generally confined to the library. Occasionally some of it would be chiseled onto buildings, but that too was a rarefied setting. Modern printing obviously changed all that. "This will kill that," said Victor Hugo of the printed word's advantage over the inscribed building. In the past 50 years, printing and photo composition have moved text and images into unprecedented contexts. Next, text displays came alive. The word processor is one reason why most of us tolerate computers. More recently the scale of displays has expanded down to handheld devices and up to cover whole walls. As evidence that information truly has become ubiquitous, the text screen on a gas pump scrolls an advertisement while you are filling your tank.

The idea that how we do things with symbols depends on their scale and position relative to the body is fundamental to pervasive computing. Something you read inclined on the sofa should be a different size than something you read as you step out of sidewalk traffic into a doorway. At 10 feet high an image reads very differently than the same content at 5 inches. Scale was intrinsic to the original notion of ubiquitous computing: Weiser's group at Xerox PARC made a typological distinction among tabs, pads, and boards.

Lately it has become possible to move text between many scales and surfaces. Researchers from Sony have demonstrated ways to drag an image off a laptop computer and onto a wall.<sup>39</sup> The greater the variety of display surfaces on hand, the more appealing such mobility becomes. For an example of free-form display, IBM's Everywhere Displays project combined projection with detection on an arbitrary surface such as a tabletop or a wall.<sup>40</sup> In effect, this coupling turns the surface into a crude wireless touch screen. The expression *augmented reality* has generally been reserved for conditions in which a virtual display is overlaid onto a physical scene. Sometimes this occurs on the eyeglasses of a viewer. Such applications have been pioneered on assembly lines and in equipment repair, for example, where annotation of viewed objects is needed but the hands have to be kept free.

Nevertheless it is the live display in the fixed setting that may most characterize embedded systems, and that brings pervasive computing into architecture. Again the earliest examples were in the laboratories. At MIT, Alex Pentland's ALIVE project team maintained a wall-size screen suitable for animated characters.<sup>41</sup> Hiroshi Ishii's "ambient ceiling" set an early standard of being in the periphery.<sup>42</sup> It was based on projected images of ripples like those on the surface of a pond, whose frequency and intensity were mapped to local measures of ambient conditions such as network traffic or the number of people on site. Wall-sized displays have been important to more recent interactivity research at Stanford University. These "murals" provide much more substantial content than previous projected displays, while keeping the control elements to a minimum. Laboratory director Terry Winograd has often used architecture metaphors to describe how, in the design of interactive experience, the whole is greater than the sum of the individual technical components.43

Many people think of large-format displays in terms of the year the NASDAQ sign went up. This outdoor installation demonstrated that besides size, another factor important to ubiquitous display is its ruggedness. A display that can be left out in the rain opens a very different realm of imagination.

Next, the literal ground itself becomes interactive. With the spread of positioning systems, which in effect make anyone who carries such a system into a live cursor, the city plan itself becomes a living surface.

Perhaps because we have been culturally conditioned to fantasies being visual, or because the dominant cultural media of the last century would have been wild fantasies in the one before that, prospects in display technology make it more difficult to avoid technofuturism.

# 8. Fixed locations track mobile positions.

Positioning technology has exploded. In a 2002 interview, GPS pioneer Per Enge observed that in contrast to original projections for an eventual market for 40,000 GPS units (mostly in military applications), at this point 100,000 were being produced each month.<sup>44</sup>

"Let's put GPS in necklaces and dog collars. Everything that moves should have GPS," wrote Kanwar Chadha, chief executive officer of SiRF, the leading GPS chipset manufacturer.<sup>45</sup> "This kind of stuff has enormous potential for abuse by the authorities, or by anyone who can break into the information," wrote Emily Whitfield, a spokesperson for the American Civil Liberties Union.<sup>46</sup> Concerns include not only the usual fears of governments monitoring their citizens, but also criminals tracking their prey.

Among the ways to achieve more natural interaction design, there is none quite so obvious as using position for input. You do not have to be a genius to understand the potential of a device whose sole instructions are to take it somewhere and turn it on. Maybe a third step would be to pop in a filter for what you want to know: plant identification, history of a city, bar hopping, tracking your friends, finding your tribe.<sup>47</sup>

Because space is such a fundamental category, position can serve as an index to a range of information services. Any body of data that can be "geocoded," that is, assigned a position as a key to a record in a relational database, can be delivered intelligently through geographic information systems (GIS).<sup>48</sup> With mobile communications, the information can be delivered where needed. A GPS completes the loop; position data are used to query huge spatial databases that report relevant information back to the position being described. That information can be highly thematic, and not just the stuff that usually shows up on printed roadmaps. For example one might use a mobile GPS-GIS system to study vegetation patterns, ethnic neighborhood boundaries, or current nightclub scenes.

When coupled with tagging, positioning technology helps track things that move around. This helps answer such fundamental questions as "Who is here?" and "What are they doing?" Like the products in a retail supply chain, elements of other networked distributions become documented and their flows become better modeled. Knowing where things are leads to natural economies of routing. Even a sidewalk vendor knows there is value added in this. Tracking also improves the most rudimentary aspects of sport, as any hunter knows. Tracking distributed fields of movements raises new prospects in scientific and recreational visualization. Social delights and abuses quickly occur to the technofuturist imagination. When it is combined with architecture's role of arranging bodies in space, for example, tracking seems more like a security application than play.

Positioning systems also cater to the geographically unskilled. In the late 1990s, Hertz began offering "NeverLost" service automobile navigation system in certain rental areas. "Whereify," a wristband device for tracking a child, was one of the first commercial GPS wearables (figure 4.4). This was just the tip of the iceberg on intelligent transportation systems (ITS), which in turn were just one sector of what was already a multibillion dollar market in geodata.



4.4 Wherify GPS Locator, a location-tracking wearable for children. (*Courtesy of wherify.com.*)

With the cost of a GPS chipset falling through \$10, and with the repeal of its resolution reduction for nonmilitary applications as of April 2000, the way for computers to annotate the physical world was been cast wide open. "Urban markup language" does not yet exist.<sup>49</sup> However, in 2001, a geography markup language standard was introduced.<sup>50</sup>

# 9. Software models situations.

As hardware becomes less expensive, more diverse, and more plentiful, software becomes more challenging. Representing scenes and situations becomes the essential challenge. Knowledge representation remains perhaps the fundamental challenge in software. As evidence for this, the discourse has shifted from artificial intelligence to ontology; that is, to representing the existence of people, actors, and things and their contexts. "Who is here and what are they doing?" recalls Laurel's foundational notion that the purpose of computers is to let people take part in shared representations of action.<sup>51</sup> Add the many components of pervasive computing to the means, and the pursuit of these ends becomes more interesting. For example, a system may begin to model a physically proximate area by polling local ad hoc links between known mobile tags and devices. Protocols, etiquettes, and other such aspects of social framing become more essential than they were in earlier, desktop realms of computing. Architectural frames, and-despite exaggerated reports of its death-environmental scale and geometry help organize so much information.

Location models appear so critical to the problems of implementing contextual awareness that they deserve much more discussion in this review. Chapter 5 explores the relations between geometry, the geodata industry, and sensor-actuator systems, all in relation to the problem of representing actors on stages. Chapter 6 assembles one possible set of situational types for which such models may serve. Persistent goals in knowledge representation carry over from the world of ambitious desktop artificial intelligence to less versatile, but more numerous instances of information appliances, smart spaces, and interactive environmental controls. For example, the metaphor of the butler still obtains. Like a butler or personal secretary, some con-

91

textual computing must anticipate some of our needs before we do, and must carry out some of our business without our needing to know about it; but it must maintain our identity, accessibility, and etiquette where appropriate in the processes.

# 10. Tuning overcomes rigidity.

Even before any software location models are implemented, even the crudest aggregation of hardware and links must be lived in, lived with, and tuned. Much of the place-centered character of situated interaction design comes from the fact that any fixed collection of devices has to be integrated. Tuning consists of incremental adaptations of configurations and settings based on a qualitative, top level interpretation of the performance, and in best cases, the "feel" of the aggregate. Acousticians tune concert halls. Game developers tune the variables and constraints in strategic simulations. Even when engineers balance complex systems using mathematical models, some tuning creeps in. The prevalence of tuning in today's culture of technology usage is demonstrated by the spread of the word *tweak*.

Tuning includes incremental growth and change. How are new devices added? What model underlies the world in which all of these interoperate? Must the whole system be rebalanced each time it incorporates another element? Steve Shafer from Microsoft Research has argued that not only is tuning necessary, but indeed it becomes one of the central knowledge representation problems of the emerging generation of research.

The most obvious thing about ubiquitous computing or intelligent environments is that they have a lot of devices talking to each other. Accordingly, one of the first questions in building such systems is how to get these things to talk to each other at all. This raises questions of network protocols, distributed object programming systems, etc, and much research proceeds along these lines, as though that were sufficient for ubiquitous computing. But, assuming the connections are made somehow, the deeper question is, how can we make these interactions meaningful?<sup>52</sup> Then the systems start to tweak themselves. In contrast to a sense of place, consider places with sense. Smart spaces recognize at least something about what is going on in them, and then they respond.

Some of this built-in understanding now can reside in easily adaptable software, some can be implicit in occasionally reconfigurable arrangements of furniturelike hardware, and some remains better off being built in. It is the interrelationship of these that needs design.

The question exists of whether each smart space must be built in an ad hoc manner, or whether standards and reusable software components will emerge. Such an infrastructure would be somewhat analogous to the "event handler" typical of interactive desktop windowing and multimedia scripting systems, but would be infinitely more complicated in the continuous context of a physical space. Multiple actors, ambiguous aggregations of objects, and unreliable data streams from distibuted sensor fields all replace the mouse click as inputs to be interpreted. Researchers in this must extrapolate from what they know. Programmers from the AwareHome project at Georgia Institute of Technology have developed "context widgets," for example. These are analogous to desktop widgets, reusable modules with fixed sets of. callbacks to other elements of the system.<sup>53</sup>

From a systems engineering standpoint, tuning is a matter of regulating the transfers between component devices to achieve an overall system performance that is not easily predicted by numerical methods. Quantitative analysis seeks optimization, which it tries to predict with indicated solutions to mathematical models. Few design problems afford such determinacy, however. Under- and overconstrained problems produce a complexity where human judgment is better than predictive formulations. Here the approach to tuning, and to the design philosophy it represents, is more propositional. This may sound exactly like the "try it and see" approach to design improvization that decades of numerical analysis have sought to overcome, but more accurately it illustrates the need for a partnership between prediction and invention in creative problem solving.

# Toward a Typology of Situated Interaction Design

If the tuning of smart environments were to rely exclusively on ad hoc inventiveness, work would proceed slowly. If it were to reduce its considerations to functions that could be modeled more predictably, this effort would produce sterile results. In between these approaches, something is needed in the way of continuous, if not fully formalized knowledge. Invention needs to play off convention. Unless engineers are to face a debilitating agglomeration of gear, some aspect of context has to help with tuning and protocols.

That aspect is type. Persistent structures of form and environment should be able to accomplish half the work of tuning aggregations of portable and embedded technology. If, for example, one is tuning smart gear for a café, a lot of the work should be accomplished by the fact that this is a café. Location and type have to matter. Otherwise, with everything possible all the time, mostly chaos will result.

Technology might at least distinguish among the life patterns that it so often alters. Designers of digital technology need to recognize living situations amid the legacy of conventions that they so readily declare obsolete. In a field better known for its frontier mentality, consider the role of typology.

Ubiquitous computing generally has been assumed to be portable computing, and the portable device has been assumed to be a solo device. But as communication devices repeatedly come into contact with one another, they create the need for protocols. Then it turns out that electronic data flows are hardly uniform or universal. Protocols become a whole-systems problem, in which the usability of an emergent collection of devices becomes more important than the features of any one. As we begin to internetwork mobile and embedded devices, we need more locational etiquette.

Technology still accumulates somewhere (figure 6.1). More richly mediated interactions generally require a set of supporting technologies that must be owned, housed, and maintained.<sup>1</sup> It is relevant that the great architect Louis Kahn once emphasized a distinction between spaces that serve and spaces that are served. Spaces that serve absorb their era's new technologies, and they are reconfigured but not replaced by these. The portable gear we bring along now serves too, and its interaction with the fixed must merit special interest, but the fixed arrangement remains the deeper half of the design.



6.1 Technology piles up

6

Situated Types

These settings accentuate the social aspects of information technology. Identification remains essential to place, to belonging, and to trust. This is highly subjective, of course. People tend to identify with settings they have casually appropriated, such as some corner of a park where they go to exercise—and not, by contrast, with settings that monitor, control, and foist a guaranteed experience on them. They are also more likely to identify with recurring experiences, especially those that result from social choices. Thus as technologies broaden choices in life, designers must address the source of the insiders' trust. Some scenarios of pervasive computing have fallen far short of this trust, as expressed in the common fear that devices are watching and talking to each other about us.

For these and any number of other reasons already explored, human interactions continue to exhibit categories, strata, and patterns. Such recurring configurations are natural; just about any species has them. Contexts remind people and their devices how to behave. That framing has often been done best and understood most easily as architecture. Something about the habitual nature of an environmental usage gives it life. Like device protocols and personal conduct, architecture has been a form of etiquette. Like most etiquette, architecture exists not out of pompousness, but because it lets life proceed more easily. Situated computing extends this age-old preference, whereas anytime-anyplace computing does not.

# Typology

In short, we need a typology of situated interactions. By extending living patterns of inhabited space, we can strive to make technology simpler, more adaptive, and more social. The alternative is chaos. Much as free-form experimentation with unprecedented technologies in modern building often led to socially detrimental results, now pervasive computing creeps toward huge design failures.<sup>2</sup> Expect wrecks.

Recall that as a design philosophy, typology recognizes how creativity does better with themes and variations than with arbitrary innovation. It provides a framework for convention and invention to temper one another. Between conformity to a one-size-fits-all design and the chaos of infinite combinatorial possibility, there is a manageable range of recognizable situations. Design seldom benefits from infinite possibilities. It is more likely to be beneficial and appreciated when its variations occur on a few appropriate themes. Much as music finds richness in endless play within a relatively small number of specific genres, so now interaction design turns toward a less than infinite set of combinations. Much as architecture depends on habitual patterns for its livability, so the ad hoc local networks of devices must produce recurrent types. Whether those types reflect technological possibilities or human patterns becomes a matter of design.

As design participation broadens in digital technology, architects should awaken to these issues. As in architecture, where arbitrary freedoms often yielded dysfunctional spaces, type-defying free-form configurations will mostly not work in digital environmental technologies.

With the benefit of a longer historical perspective, architects understand how types are morphed, extended, and only occasionally made obsolete by new layers of technology. They have confronted dematerialization, and they know that all things digital will neither replace the built environment nor allow anything to happen anywhere in it. At a practical level, architects understand a component-based approach to designing macro-scale environments. As a scholarly discipline, architects have explored patterns of human activity independently not only of computers but also of buildings. The same cultural sensibility that finds substance in quiet architecture now turns its attention to situated gear.

Here follows a rudimentary typology of thirty situations (figure 6.2). These are by no means definitive and, as in most categorizations, could easily have been classified some other way. They could be organized by types of spaces, by types of technology used, by types of social conventions, or by types of activity.<sup>3</sup> All would interrelate. All would provide a reasonably short list. As it is, the groupings used reflect the usual categories of place: workplace, dwelling place, the off-cited "third place" for conviviality, and an ever-increasing "fourth place," as it were, of commuting and travel. Given the emphasis on interaction in context, activity seems the right way to group these situations. A map of activities says the most about the usability of technology-modified places.

### (at work...)

- 1. Deliberating (places for thinking)
- 2. Presenting (places for speaking to groups)
- 3. Collaborating (places for working within groups)
- 4. Dealing (places for negotiating)
- 5. Documenting (places for references resources)
- 6. Officiating (places for institutions to serve their constituencies)
- 7. Crafting (places for skilled practice)
- 8. Associating (places where businesses form ecologies)
- 9. Learning (places for experiments and explanations)
- 10. Cultivating (places for stewardship)
- 11. Watching (places for monitoring)

# (at home...)

- 12. Sheltering (places with comfortable climate)
- 13. Recharging (places for maintaining the body)
- 14. Idling (restful places for watching the world go by)
- 15. Confining (places to be held in)
- 16. Servicing (places with local support networks)
- 17. Metering (places where services flow incrementally)

### (on the to town...)

- 18. Eating, drinking, talking (places for socializing)
- 19. Gathering (places to meet)
- 20. Cruising (places for seeing and being seen)
- 21. Belonging (places for insiders)
- 22. Shopping (places for recreational retailing)
- 23. Sporting (places for embodied play)
- 24. Attending (places for cultural productions)
- 25. Commemorating (places for ritual)

(on the road...)

- 26. Gazing/touring (places to visit)
- 27. Hoteling (places to be at home away from home)
- 28. Adventuring (places for embodied challenge)
- 29. Driving (car as place)
- 30. Walking (places at human scale)

6.2 One set of situational types

### At Work

# Deliberating (places for thinking)

A thinker dislikes interruptions, and so knows when to close the door. Prior to the existence of electronic communications, this simple architectural tactic was sufficient. The door was necessary because this solitary space was preferably linked to a complementary, more public space for sharing results and deciding on courses of action. The classic metaphor is "caves and commons." Whether monastic cells and churches, or corporate cubicles and conference rooms, the relationship remains the same.

Communication technology obviously upsets this pattern. The telephone can ring behind the closed door. Receptionists become gatekeepers of incoming calls as well as the doorway. Outgoing calls can be useful to deliberation; one can acquire missing information without leaving one's desk. Larger volumes of calls can be pooled. Long before the use of cubicles, it became a standard image of early twentieth-century office work to see a group of workers sitting at a row of desks, making telephone calls all over the city. This was neither truly cave nor common, but an intermediate condition of cooperative work. (Meanwhile the solitude necessary for reflection could be linked to the commons remotely. If one had an idea while out walking around town, it was now possible to phone it right in.)

Today when different windows on one's screen constitute work and play, solos and collaborations, and privacy or its absence, the tactics of establishing solitary space have become much more complicated. Meanwhile the means of leaving the workplace to find that solitude have become much more practical. This is one reason why the "fourth place" of mobility has become more significant. To address the fixed workplace, where accumulations of technology are generally more sophisticated, "groupware" or collaborative systems have become a substantial domain of the software industry. Now pervasive computing adds a hardware and environmental component to those systems. With regard to address the basic need to close the door and think, Bill Buxton's simple "door mouse" was a significant early demonstration of situated computing. This real-space hack mediated privacy among members of a work group who were made peripherally aware of one another by their agreement to share video camera feeds among their offices. When the physical door was closed, this actuator sent a signal to the computer to stop the outgoing webcam signal.<sup>4</sup>

# Presenting (places for speaking to groups)

Archetypally, the organizational common consists of a classical rhetorician in an oratory hall. Here the metaphor is to "have the floor." Some cultures provided a token of that status in the form of a speaking stone, the holder of which was to have the floor as long as desired, plus the choice of who would get to speak next.

Often the stage would include a proscenium to define the floor better. Similarly, the portico of a building represents the political authority from which the potentate speaks. Thus the Sultan of Turkey was known as the *Porte*. Alternatively, opposing benches such those as in a parliament indicate the two-sided nature of debate. A courtroom lets several parties observe. So does a theater in the round. The pulpit raises the preacher above the flock.

An ordinary conference room offers digital extensions that are remarkable by the standards of just a decade ago. Touch-screen controls embedded in a podium can switch between racked equipment and private laptops as projector inputs, control lighting according to stored configurations, patch in remote teleconference signals, and more.

Pervasive computing extends those possibilities and overcomes weaknesses of the bullet slide format by empowering the participants in a rapidly reconfigurable room. The holder of the stone becomes the controller of lighting, audio, and recording devices. A remote participant gains some leverage by which to get a word in. A meeting may create a new document (and not just the minutes) rather than recite the documents conceived separately by the participants. Although meeting ware has not yet found a widespread market, its research prototypes, such as Kumo Interactive at Fuji Xerox, have become quite robust.<sup>5</sup>

# Collaborating (places for working within groups)

A worker's knowledge resides in a community. The middle ground between the thinker and the speaker has been increasingly instrumentalized in collaborative systems. In support of postindustrial management practices, these systems apply information technology to facilitate casual exchange rather than to proceduralize isolated tasks. Like architecture before it, network computing helps organizations learn.

These principles underlie the kind of technical work that appears in the ACM conference series Computer Systems for Cooperative Work (CSCW). Largely unknown to professional architects, these conference proceedings have developed a substantial literature on the design, ethnography, and technology of the workplace. In support of this growing field, the National Institute of Standards and Technology has developed a set of resource links<sup>6</sup> as well as a an integration platform standard for modular design research.

# Dealing (places for negotiating)

As mentioned earlier in the discussion on the corner office, people prefer face-to-face conditions, especially for the exchange of power and opportunity. Recall that this sort of arrangement provides alternative configurations for the play of interpersonal distance. Again, precomputational technologies transformed the type by increasing its dependence on information support. Telephones summoned not only information but also additional players. Convenient printing technologies increased the use of graphics in support of decisions.

With the introduction of computer networks, some kinds of dealing places, such as stock trading floors, did dematerialize into information spaces, and those that remained were transformed by an increase in data displays.<sup>7</sup>

Today the conventional trading floor is a digitally enhanced operation that is typically rebuilt every few years. Here is a place where having the best information a little sooner is an important advantage. A trader is often equipped to mine intelligence from monitored markets around the world and then to communicate quickly, locally on the floor.

# Documenting (places for reference resources)

Just about any work involves some information about what is here and what needs to done with it. Deals depend on documents. Equipment comes with manuals.

In recent decades, technology moved more of that information off the page to the sites of its use. Consider how many objects have instructions printed or glued onto them. Pervasive computing increases the value of such locally convenient documentation many times over. This is largely a matter of timeliness. A smart tag can furnish instruction in response to present conditions and linked sites. Objects with documentation be read with a portable device. Much as a building inspector has a probe to hold up to a wire to check for current, so many other kinds of inspection can involve some kind of local physical query.

One favorite model of embedded documentation uses wireless communication and position information to give a person documentation about an environment in which he or she is operating. One immediately practical application is repair manuals. For example, researchers from Carnegie Mellon University worked with DaimlerChrysler to demonstrate a position-dependent wearable computer by which transit repair workers could communicate with a remote expert at a help desk. The system was applied on the highly downtime-sensitive people movers at the local airport.<sup>8</sup>

As many designers like to speculate, located documentation could be implemented as an "augmented reality" overlay based on a comprehensive model. The often-cited Architectural Anatomy project at Columbia University demonstrated the early rudiments of this possibility by modeling the bare structural system of a building and mapping appropriate views of it onto transparent goggles worn into that building.<sup>9</sup>

# Officiating (places for institutions to serve their constituencies)

The authority of an organization is exercised in the affairs of others. Such activity becomes the basis of institutions. Sites that have become institutionalized are among the most strongly typed in the built environment. Agencies, bureaus, and commissions maintain visible edifices and service counters. To physically visit one of them is to assent to its authority. Judicial systems carry this to the highest (and most enduringly built) formality, for instance.

Technology allows some officials to transmit their authority remotely. This requires authentication. The wax seal imprinted on a paper or parchment document accomplished that for centuries. Today we are asked to remember numerous passwords and personal identification numbers to serve the same need. As more and official business occurs online, only those institutional types where ceremony is valued in itself need a physical site. A church is the foremost example.

Official policies also influence access to network services. Such governance exists at the scale of buildings, cities, and regions, and for purposes of security, infrastructure planning, and the offering of online public services, for example.

# Crafting (places for skilled practice)

Not all work consists of business letters, spreadsheets, and databases. Besides using documents, work centers around artifacts. Digital productions that reflect some of their history and the talent that went into them increasingly restore dignity to the economic arts known as crafts.

Context has been vital to craft. The settings of shop and studio reify work practices. Props, supporting tools, and work process configurations embody intellectual capital. They are prime instances of effective periphery.

Physical computing increases the potential of digital craft. Haptic interaction devices promise to restore touch to work in ways that the crude mouse only begins to hint at. Research in this work has expanded rapidly over the past five years. In one instance, a team at Interval Research and MIT coupled force-feedback to smart tagging for an input device that satisfies physical predispositions for continuous action. As the research team observed, "The natural world is often composed of and perceived as an infinity of continuums. People who have grown up within it are accustomed to moving and deforming and creating new possibilities from malleable media.... A button makes something happen automatically. A handle involves participatory control. It couples you to the environment. You are a part of a feedback loop."<sup>10</sup> By loading different force-feedback programs onto a handle, the device can be reprogrammed for different users and levels of expertise, for different applications, and to avoid using a mouse to fetch commands that have been buried in menus.

# Associating (places where businesses form ecologies)

Places of work tend to cluster in particular where artifacts are traded, or where tacit knowledge resides in a community. The urban archetype of this is the district.

Transport and communication technologies eliminated the need for proximity but did not do away with clustering. Physical clusters could become larger and more specialized when people could drive to them. Now a district is defined as much by its architectural types as by any differentiation of wares: loft district, big-box district, etc. Our larger categories of domesticity, conviviality, and travel establish districts in themselves. Yet the single-use zoning so characteristic of the industrial city (where noxious factories and markets had to be kept away from residences) interferes with clustering. Districts must interweave and overlay.

A lot of this just happens on-screen. When booking a flight you can also book a hotel, look up a club, and send a greeting ahead to friend. More still happens on the back end. Online business-to-business reconfiguration (e.g., for bidding mechanisms, as at FreeMarkets.com) is largely about finding more natural associations. High-volume online trading sites tend to be far more credible as real network places than their counterparts in online socialization.

# Learning (places for experiments and explanations)

While the global network, interactive publications, and online collaborations have introduced new channels of learning, about which much is made on alternatives to the in-residence university, those with the means to do so still prefer to sit and listen to the master (and then sit on the school steps after the lecture to take him or her apart).

In the review of activity theory, we have seen the importance of context to learning. Although the subjective aspects of engagement have become more important to interaction design, nevertheless the objective contexts may be adapted as well. Under pervasive computing, settings themselves learn.

This prospect has precedents in industrial technology. Programming by demonstration had a brief surge in the mid twentieth century as the need for numerically controlled machinery preceded the computational means to program it. Live demonstrations by machinists were recorded onto paper tapes by which the actions could be replicated. But that was all. Physical computing adds logic, memory, more precise and diversified sensing, and user response. Applications range from the elementary school classroom to highly specialized processes such as surgical training.

Learning flows in a two-way relationship between subject and context. Software that recognizes the abilities of respective users adapts itself to them, and guides their learning.

# Cultivating (places for stewardship)

In addition to trading and making things, work also consists of stewardship. For example, environmental monitoring has grown into a multibillion dollar business. In one early instance of pervasive computing, in 1999 the city of London installed the world's first system of radio-linked, lamppost-mounted pollution monitors. These "streetboxes" used embedded computing to log various undesirable gasses against ambient conditions of light, temperature, and humidity, and to make intermittent radio connection to a nearby data collection center.<sup>11</sup>

With an increase in the number, connectivity, and programmability of practical sensors, environmental management can move beyond damage control toward a more proactive stewardship.

# Watching (places for monitoring)

People fearfully assume that most initial applications of pervasive computing will be in civil surveillance. In reality most smart monitoring is not directed at citizens. For example, in an application known as geodetic monitoring, strain gauges and meterological sensors can be coupled to positional data and linked to software models to detect potential structural failures of bridges, mineshafts, or dams. Many other kinds of equipment and capital goods employ some form of monitoring. Computer vision first became widespread in applications to industrial robotics. Feedback control systems have been the primary application of embedded computation. The more processing, memory, and communication that the components of industrial process designs contain, the more these systems become stable, adaptable, and economical.

But then those remarkable powers of inference appear in human contexts as well. The social problem of production tasks being monitored, which is at least as old as the assembly line, now reappears in digital media at the level of keystroke counts and file content searches. It also becomes more remote and less visible, and it spreads beyond the workplace.

Surveillance has been accepted in countless applications, many of which have been cited in this text, yet remains objectionable in principle to many people for any given new instance. In a litigious culture that no longer accepts common sense as a form of prevention, we have become accustomed to surveillance in the most mundane of circumstances. Now as geopolitics presents more substantial risks, we face a disturbing prospect of losing any remaining social restraints to this monitoring. That prospect is enough to make many people resist the general notion of pervasive computing. Unfortunately that resistance may retard the development of benign applications more than the development of these detrimental ones.

### At Home

# Sheltering (places with comfortable climate)

Few archetypes run as deep as the hearth at the center or the roof overhead. Few aspects of domesticity have been so fully shaped by technology as the control of climate. Mechanical heating and cooling systems came along relatively recently in the history of architecture. Electronic feedback control systems have increased the sensitivity of environmental controls. The building thermostat would be a marvel of intelligent technology in any time but our own. Now an expansion in the number and capacity of sensor systems gives thermostats much greater sensitivity. Recent developments shift the goal from conquest of climate to engagement with it. This is illustrated in the principle of "thermal delight." Some daily and seasonal change in indoor environmental conditions, and even in the use of space as a consequence of those changes, seems preferable to uniformity. Participation in such cycles remains one basis of being in place. Although some people will seek maximum convenience in uniformity, even at the cost of being cut off from climate, others will find satisfaction in programming their dwellings for more cyclical living. That is only natural.

# Recharging (places for maintaining the body)

We go home to recharge. Other than sleeping, our acts of recharging depend on domestic infrastructure—plumbing in particular, but also kitchens, entertainment centers, hobby workbenches, and so on. Recharging involves solitary interactions with technology. It utilizes the "serving" spaces of the house—those more likely to incorporate smarter machines. Hence a European visiting Los Angeles might marvel at the obsession with a private bath for each bedroom in even the humblest dwellings, or at how the real estate agents recite the number of appliances in a house rather than any architectural features.

What happens when some domestic recharging facilities become wearable? This will be especially pertinent as the wearable or portable device relates to the fixed and serving spaces of the home. Wearable computer pioneers have recognized applications in body monitoring. Statistics formerly only available for hospital patients or to sports professionals now can be uploaded from privately wearable devices. Some of these devices might be worn outside the house, such as when jogging, but their accumulated data would most likely be read from the home.<sup>12</sup> And some would serve a house-bound patient. Perhaps the largest growth sector for "smart home" applications is in systems to permit the elderly to "age in place" in their own homes.

# Idling (restful places for watching the world go by)

Passive entertainments dominate domestic life. At rest we watch others. Whether surfing channels, websites, or (traditionally) the countenances of people walking by, the flow of glances soothes. The restfulness of people watching underlies such traditional typological components as the porch, the balcony, the picture window. Traditionally these elements would open onto the street, where casual engagement with passers-by would make a set of such spaces into a communal living room. Entertainment technologies as simple as the Sunday paper disrupt this. People retreat into their separate worlds. It is hard to justify front porches today as cars rush by and people sit inside playing video games.

When each person entertains himself or herself in isolation, however, the "served" communal space of the house falls into disuse. Hence the recent trend for a "great room," which keeps family members in the same place, however separate their attentions, and which restores an arrangement long ago dictated by the common need to sit near a source of warmth. Clearly the type has morphed under technological change.

Electronic communications give the domestic idler a window on the world at large. The public spectacle becomes accessible from the convenience and privacy of one's own bedroom.

Meanwhile, escalating voyeurism has been evident in the recent mania for "reality television" based on constant camera feeds; in the fascination for recreational statistics, that is, in watching patterns in what people are doing and watching; and in a corresponding exhibitionism on the part of growing ranks of the population. That which is on camera is more somehow more real, even amid the privacy of the home.

Is this the front porch morphed beyond recognition? The technology transforming the type is the camera, in all its increasingly realtime and digital manifestations.

# Confining (places to be held in)

Historically, domestic types have included various forms of confinement, whether voluntary or involuntary, mandatory or by default. Prisons, hospitals, asylums, and other such residential institutions must be included among these types. Some of these institutional stays can now be avoided with the use of a smart wristband, which allows an otherwise normal routine but calls a doctor or parole officer as needed. The introduction of information technologies transforms the prevailing conditions of each of these specialized residential types.<sup>13</sup>

Despite the astonishing number of people that it incarcerates in its prisons, America's largest ranks of the confined are the millions of suburbanites too young or too old to drive. High use of the Internet by the elderly has become a fairly well-documented phenomenon by now, but how does that use transform the use of physical space that many experience as a barrier? For example, would a senior gardener use a residence facility's community garden more often if he or she could watch remotely for the arrival of friends there?<sup>14</sup>

# Servicing (places with local support networks)

The domestic web of serving spaces and incremental resource flows is not confined to the household. While in grander residences this domestic economy could be played out in the arrangement of serving spaces (e.g., the served upstairs, the servants downstairs), more usually the support network is provided by the neighborhood. The domestic scene belongs to a local economy of providers and services. The relation of each and all houses to the conveniences of the neighborhood is their basis of place.

The history of domestic technology suggests a continual democratization of service. For example, anyone with a refrigerator (and not only those with servants to do the daily shopping) can have fresh foods. At the same time, technologies of transportation have diffused the local concentration of services. By far the most important role of the automobile is the freedom it provides for random access. The pleasing reality of a tightly knit set of domestic services in a neighborhood is lost on autoabiding suburbanites, whose settings force them back into the car between even the smallest transactions.

Teleservice providers such as Internet-based grocery delivery services have tried to mitigate such problems, but so far without the economic success that was first expected of them. New social patterns both of working at home and spending more time traveling demand more flexible domestic economies. Unfortunately the market has not yet found many solutions.

# Metering (places where services flow incrementally)

A lot of goods and materials enter and leave the home little by little. Think how many groceries you carry into the kitchen each year, for example. Domestic economies are made up of these microtransactions, and regional economies are the sum of millions of these. Many forms of built space reflect some daily routine of dropoff and delivery. The better urban layouts often provide an alleyway or mews for such services.

Smarter technologies increase the resource efficiency of these many distributions. Several recent home automation projects in corporate and university research centers have addressed the domestic economy as something to be managed with an integrated information system (figure 6.3).<sup>15</sup> For example, if domestic control systems can schedule appliance jobs such as dishwasher cycles at off-peak hours of electricity demand, local capacity is utilized more efficiently.

# On the Town

Many designers recite urban sociologist Ray Oldenburg's principle of the "third place": a location for conviviality that supplements home and work as a site of everyday life.<sup>16</sup> Designers who fear that technologies more often drive us apart than bring us together turn to social life for studies of assimilation. The ubiquitous, and often obnoxious spread of cellular phones into restaurants has been a harbinger of this problem. Thus we are not consoled by prototypes of smart glasses that tell waiters which tables need refills.<sup>17</sup> Much of the appeal of gathering over food and drink is that it is very low tech. Few of us enjoy having any more stuff in the way. Such social contexts may give pervasive computing its toughest tests of appropriateness.

# Eating, Drinking, Talking (places for socializing)

Conviviality over food remains the best basis of the good life and of good places. The local bistro or pub provides an environment that is not available in the commercialized, customer turnover-inducing world of the chain restaurant. The goal instead is to have the best service with the least interference. Studying the protocols of waiting on

Aware nome ce/ahri	Georgia Tech
Intelligent workplace od/html/iw/iw.html	Carnegie Mellon
House_n nouse_n/	MIT
Kumo interactive	Fuji/Xerox
Kids Room t.edu/vismod/demos/kids	MIT sroom/
BlueSpace /MobileComputing/Blue	IBM/Steelcase Space.html
Adaptive house mozer/nnh/	University of Colorado
Easy living t.com/easyliving/	Microsoft research
tion/catalogue om/	Electronic house
Lego dacta	Lego
	Aware nome aware nome avare nome intelligent workplace od/html/iw/iw.html House_n iouse_n/ Kumo interactive spaces/ Kids Room tedu/vismod/demos/kids BlueSpace /MobileComputing/Blues Adaptive house mozer/nnh/ Easy living tion/catalogue im/ Lego dacta oducts/

A ....

tables makes interesting ethnography.<sup>18</sup> Here it is evident that etiquette is a means and not a burden. Here too, the preferred role of high technology is peripheral, to establish ambience.

New media make it practical to provide a more diverse range of ambiences for social gathering spots. They also make it easier for patrons to identify and locate those locations. The question is whether this can be accomplished without the undue thematization that interferes with the social spontaneity that is the prime basis of the type.

In some exceptions, the technology may come into the foreground, and the theme may be welcomed as a part of the social game. Consider the recent prospect of the "image cafe," for example. Cybercafes have been socially centripetal; participants were drawn away from the physical scene through their respective screens. An image cafe reverses this in what is essentially visual karaoke. Images brought by patrons can appear in a sequence or a mosaic on shared table tops or on a common wall. Customers can unload their digital cameras for others to see their photos, or they can suggest uploads to a video disk jockey, etc.

# Gathering (places to meet)

The act of rendezvous characterizes many urban types. It often occurs at a landmark, such as Marshall Field's clock on State Street in Chicago. Something easy to identify, remember, and find thus takes on an active social role. Visitors find landmarks essential in this regard. Regular denizens can meet just about anywhere, however. The habitually appropriated spot takes on social significance for them. For example, a particular group of teens may meet at the abutment of a particular bridge each weekend. Some older men might take over a corner of the park for lawn bowling.

Communication technology assists in physical gatherings. The first thing said on Alexander Graham Bell's phone was "come here, Mr. Watson." Less directly, advertising on broadcast media increases the importance of "destinations." When coupled with the reality that people tend to decide where they are going before they get in their cars, and tend to spend their money near wherever they get out of their cars, electronic inducements to meet someplace assume great social and architectural importance. Here the mobile phone is a positive force. Decisions about gathering often occur on the move, and quite often in response to current conditions. Frequent back and forth calls amount to polling: "How about here?"<sup>19</sup>

# Cruising (places for seeing and being seen)

It is a short step from polling to cruising: that is, social circulation in search of one's kind. In civil society the promenade formed a gracious opportunity for its participants (not necessarily so graciously, in their minds) to check each other out. Various urban types from the boulevard to the boardwalk to the grand stair at the theater have served this end. The history of technology has yielded new variations. For example, the railroads introduced the resort hotel, where the social cruising could be carried out over a week-long visit, with the assurance that only those of similar means were present.

Global travel has radically increased the diversity and frequency of passing encounters, and in the process has eliminated many formalities while at the same time sharpening the eye for social cues. Alas, many people are reduced to the signs on their shirts. Designer logos, sometimes literal messages, and predispositions about various "lifestyle choices" dominate the passing social encounter with a stranger.

Wearable computing devices diversify this play of signs and add processing and memory to its dynamic. A wearable lifestyle device can poll the vicinity for others sharing a selection of attributes—maybe just someone on the same sidewalk who is listening to the same song.<sup>20</sup> Marshall McLuhan predicted that electronic media would retribalize society. Now this is happening in physical space.

# Belonging (places for insiders)

Tokens of belonging, that essential construct of place, thus spread out onto bodies and portable gear. What one wears has always served as a badge and a means of entry, of course. What changes is that formalities shift from appearances to information-technology handshakes. The spatial archetype is the gate. The forms include the perimeter, the gangway, and the velvet-roped queue. Gatekeepers now have many more ways to broker belonging. The criteria of insideness and outsideness are more numerous than ever before, and each person present maintains a partial status in both categories.

Smart identification cards or badges have more social appeal when they are used for two-way communication in social navigation. This could be more fun, or at least more variable, than signaling status by colors and logos on clothing.

# Shopping (places for recreational retailing)

A lot of social belonging is expressed through shopping. Because it gets people out walking when otherwise nothing else does, shopping has become the glue by which public places are put together.<sup>21</sup> It is the driver and consumer of pedestrian activity. There is even a shop at Walden Pond.

Retail infrastructures combine the marvel of unprecedented reserves with the wonder of instantaneous flow on demand.<sup>22</sup> The products moving off shelves (sales per square foot) become the vital statistic of real estate value. Although traditional marketplace types endure, and are revived, other shopping formats are among the prominent typological inventions of the past hundred years. The influence of technology on these morphologies goes beyond escalators, strip centers, and the car as a shopping cart. For example, when specialty superstores replete with tens of thousands of items in, say, housewares, become spectacles and recreations, they can seem like temples to some god of digital inventory control. Their awesome inventories would be impossible without smart devices and embedded information. Some of these are as simple as the barcode scanner, some are as complex as personalized shopper databases.

Both an information layer and a tradition of personalized service surround the act of shopping. How pervasive computing helps customize individuals' physical shopping experience is of considerable interest to interaction designers today.

Once only the wealthy or the regular patron could expect a personalized response from retailers to include knowledge of their preferences and past purchases. Now the early adopters of digital technology have the lead in personalization. The ideas behind this have been implemented successfully on the Internet. Firefly, the prototypical inference engine for monitoring personal tastes, appeared in the mid 1990s, and just a few scant years later Amazon.com had become good enough at recommending books to a customer that it tended to suggest items that he or she already owned.

Pervasive computing brings personalization back into the physical marketplace. That milieu remains important, as demonstrated by the fact that the majority of purchases in malls are not premeditated—the longer people are physically present, the more they buy. Now the personal database is built and referenced in conjunction with the act of physically handling products. In some cases, this custom service adds the ambience so important to discretionary shopping. This is even more the case when the dialogue is furthered by the personal digital gear one brings along. The portable meets the embedded at the clicksand-mortar venue of sale.

# Sporting (places for embodied play)

Quite often the "third place" takes the form of a playing field. Sometimes it is out in the wilds. Locations for training and prowess belong to any typology of conviviality.

"Sportsmen" and other outdoor enthusiasts display a great proclivity for gear. No other people has been so highly equipped as Americans at their sports. Performance clothing, body monitors, instruments of tracking and timing, even a mere hockey puck can be high tech. For an everyday example of ubiquitous digital gear, the \$30 bicycle computer shapes countless workout routines. Using an optical signal to count turns of the wheel, it calculates distances, velocities, and averages and derivatives thereof.

Sometimes the sites of sport can be equipped themselves. Imagine an urban sport made of tagging so many fixed spots downtown. People could play capture the flag using PDAs on the streets of TriBeCa.

# Attending (places for cultural productions)

As more people incorporate aspects of art and entertainment into digital crafts, and conversely, as institutionalized cultural genres become increasingly influenced by digital media, the experience of attending a performance yields new types, particularly formats based on audience response.

In one of the largest public demonstrations of interactivity, MIT musician Tod Machover's landmark audience-driven Brain Opera was "performed" at Lincoln Center in New York in the summer of 1996. Its cast of thousands included musicians, a crowd, and remote online participants, and its three formats included an outdoor crowd-driven display, lobby exhibits of hands-on hyperinstruments, and a sit-down performance that was in part steered by remote audience on the Internet.<sup>23</sup>

In a smaller, more recent installation, the SenseBus project of the Art and Robotics Group in Toronto networked a set of simple microprocessors, of the sort found in household washers and dryers, into a space whose ambience could be modified by haptic interactions with physical objects. Waist-high metal cylinders sensed proximity and orientation among themselves, gave vibrating feedback about those as they were pushed about by visitors, and modified sound and lighting in their space according to their collective configuration. The next visitors experienced the state left by previous ones, and thus the ambience slowly evolved with each successive visit.<sup>24</sup>

# Commemorating (places for ritual)

Despite so many innovations, many forms of conviviality seek ritual types in the built environment. The endurance of these types is the very basis of their appeal. Churches and other sacred spaces remain foremost among these. Other types for other activities considered devotional (sports increasingly among them) sustain environmental memory in the same way. To abandon these for anytime-anyplace arbitrariness might please no one. We frequent chapels, arenas, ballrooms, historic landmarks, and personal ritual sites because we want to. The more that any of these has been used in a culture, the more it seems "natural" to continue visiting it. The basis of typology is that the recurrent patterns of the environment serve appealingly as a cultural memory device.

# On the Move

The ways of the high-tech nomad have been expanding. What environmental types do they support?

# Gazing/Touring (places to visit)

Tourists want to see, and be photographed at, known monuments. As described earlier, an induced demand for tourism feeds on clear landmarks, around which often only incidentally related resort amenities are built.

Given the millions of photographs that are taken over and over of exactly the same views, the camera has to be the key technology for these sites. The "overexposure" of particular sites suggests a steep increase in demand; people want to see what everyone else is seeing. Increasingly, tourists bring along information, often in the form of guidebooks, which shapes what they see and how they see it. Guidebook selections distinguish tourism market segments.

Some guidebooks can be loaded onto a PDA. Lonely Planet offers datasets by city that are about the same price as a printed guidebook. Updates can be downloaded in seconds. Contexts can be searched and sorted. Additional guides add no additional bulk or weight to one's luggage.

When used on a hand-held computer, guidebooks couple well to navigational systems. This is a matter of integrating content, not unlike the Access guides by which Richard Saul Wurman had made an early case for information design. Before the dot-com crash, Vindigo.com was delivering free local guides, reviews, and navigation to the Palm operating system for Boston, New York, Washington, Chicago, and San Francisco.<sup>25</sup>

Filtering positional data by interest turns a PDA into a specialized guide on, say, restaurants or architecture. In an often-cited early demonstration, the Lancaster Guide project implemented a context-sensitive tourist guide using radio communication to hand-held computers. This two-way link allowed users to make bookings and to query one another.<sup>26</sup> The precedent was set; how one filters available geocoded information becomes an expression of one's niche as a tourist.

# Hoteling (places to be at home away from home)

For the global traveler to be always and yet never at home requires a complex web of support services. The primary concentrator of these is the hotel. Well-understood types of hotels differ not only in price and elegance but also in the sets of services they provide. A lodgings guide is a simple lesson in typology. There are fleabag walkups; convivial pensiones; atmospheric, small, family-run courtyard places; faded downmarket commodity boxes; antiseptic camera-monitored chain inns for the risk-averse; business-oriented suites with data jacks and ergonomic desks; full-service conference venues; sybaritic resorts; aristocratic retreats; and so on.

# Adventuring (places for embodied challenge)

The traveler, detached from direct experience and deep knowledge of homeland, engages the Earth in other ways. These tend to be concentrated, innovative, recreational; in the favorite word, "extreme." Most forms of adventure sport and ecotourism depend on some sort of hightech gear. So far this technology has mostly helped people keep out the elements; climb, float, or wheel on surfaces; and made camp cooking palatable. When wearables deliver information and communication, however, new forms of extremity become viable.

# Driving (car as place)

Among technologies that influence settlement patterns, perhaps none has had greater impact than the automobile. Moreover, the car itself has become a place where many people spend much of their day. A typical car depends on several internal microprocessors to operate, and now research has advanced communications to and from the vehicle as well. Citizen's band radios and cellular phones already provide plenty of chat, for which they are rightly resented as a hazardous distraction to driving. Next come navigation systems; by mid-2000, Hertz had deployed its NeverLost system in over 30,000 rental cars. General Motors expected to have a million subscribers to its OnStar navigation service by the end of the year 2000. The auto industry has taken a liking to the rearview mirror as a sky-visible site for telecommunications. One maker, Gentex corporation, already has mirrors with "GPS system interfaces, cell phones, microphones, emergency notification systems and the like" on more than a dozen vehicle models in North America."<sup>27</sup>

It would not be difficult to have smarter transportation. Losses based just on the measurable costs of everyone sitting in traffic quickly run to the billions. The Federal Highway Administration has therefore established standards for intelligent transportation systems, toward which companies such as iteris.com have begun to develop systems. The goal is to monitor flow, recommend trip times, and reroute traffic for better throughput on the road network. Major trucking companies tend to be the first to gain the benefits of such efficiencies, but individual motorists obtain some side effects, such as better roadway assistance programs. In some cases the road system itself is outfitted with software. For example, in 2002 the District of Columbia undertook a \$100 million overhaul of its traffic lights, in which sensors in the roadbed and cameras overhead provide enough data to govern light timings more effectively. The deployed system is expected to result in less "blocking the box" and as much as 20 percent less waiting time.<sup>28</sup>

# Walking (places at human scale)

For most of us other than the captives of sprawl, walking remains the most fundamental form of mobility. Walking gives scale. Interface designers in search of natural technologies might focus here. Technologies that we can use while walking are the most truly portable.<sup>29</sup>

Many devices are light enough to carry comfortably but are heavier in terms of usability; they require us to step out of pedestrian traffic, or even to sit down to use them. Many skills and perceptions change when one is walking. Some are more acute than when we are still and others are blunted. This simple distinction begins a typology of portable device design.

Consider how we walk in relation to others and in relation to fixed environments. Urban designers understand difference in pedestrian activity, and their work emphasizes typologies of form. Thus we come full circle to the persistence of repeating patterns as a manifestation of affordances and appreciations of embodied environments.

# **Being Someplace Digital**

The difference between ubiquitous and situated computing appears vital. Ubiquitous computing as promoted by the information technology industries has mostly been a matter of pure mobility, with little regard for locally embedded systems. It has emphasized access to the same information everywhere. It has been geared toward connectivity 100 percent of the time for a few people, rather than providing information when useful for 100 percent of the people in a specific location. It has sought a one-size-fits-all solution for technological interoperability.

By contrast, situated computing is based on the belief that such universality is neither attainable nor desirable. This approach questions total mobility, advocates local protocols, recognizes forms of tacit knowledge, and taps into more kinds of embodied predispositions (figure 6.4).

At least as much as we need to connect to the same net everywhere, we need different places in our lives to help differentiate, structure, and facilitate our activities. How do connections between mobile and embedded technology adapt to our intuition of being in a place,







Shallow connections anywhere

Filter by proximity Enrich by local protocol



# 6.5 Scales of place

give us a sense of scale (figure 6.5), and how do they help us assimilate the conditions we find? To understand our places in an ever more mobile world, we must develop better patterns, protocols, ownerships, and trust in location-aware, situated gear.

Situational types suggest one provisional basis for designing this emerging digital layer of space. Since no universal standard can support local particulars of interactivity, differentiated categories of local technology must arise. Since not every situation can be subject to custom engineering and tuning, classes of adaptable technology must arise. Typological design should advance the appropriateness of location-aware technology more quickly than universal standards or onetime circumstantial configurations.

If the means are not yet clear, we may at least agree that the ends of design must include some approach to appropriateness other than solely technological features and their performance specifications. An open standard could establish practices for any particular type. This seems a matter of *protocols*.

Could there be a way to embed situational instructions into particular physical locations so that arriving mobile devices could properly configure their behavior while visiting? By crude analogy, physical

6.4 Toward niche protocols in communication

space is full of text signs telling us what we may and may not do in their presence. This seems a matter of *tags*.

Many researchers observe that representing the human activities and merely recognizing the human actors that drive physical computing environments is perhaps the single most difficult computational challenge. How closely does this connect with the physical geometry (scale, configuration, boundaries, etc.—all architectural factors) of a situation. This seems a matter of *models*.

Within some typology, will particular technological arrangements recur often enough, with clear enough themes and variations, to become packaged into "sets"? (Recall that a television receiver was once referred to as a "set," for instance.) What would a driving set, a hotel set, or a set for city government public service counter be like? By the very nature of settlements, buildings, and cultures, we may expect some sort of typological distribution in recurring combinations of digital environmental enhancement. But the next step right now is just to build the first workable sets. This seems a matter of standard *interconnections*.

The usability of well-made traditional places now appears as a rich basis for design of context-aware technology. Whether it is organizational, social, or domestic, space awaits rediscovery for its richness of social framing. What architects refer to as vernacular or common typology should be of increasing interest to anyone studying located technology. Interaction design's increasing emphasis on context suggests a limit to the marginalization of building design and a new-found interest in periphery. This new work's emphasis on usability and appropriateness eventually leads beyond its immediate concern for the situated task toward a more inclusive configuration of the physical context. As a discipline, interaction design will need to understand how built space contributes to the conduct of life.

# III Practices